

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trades ark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
9/134,451	08/14/98	GRAFF	:		R	GRAF	F-P1-98
Γ.,		LM12/1013			EXAMINER		
ETER K TRZYNA O BOX 7131		L., 1'1 .k .c /	1010		ROSEN.		PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO IL 6	0680-7131				2764 DATE MAIL	.ED:	3
-						10/	13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/134,451

Applicant(&

Schutzer

Examiner

Nicholas D. Rosen

Group Art Unit 2764



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 14, 1998	·				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of tim 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s) 1-29	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s))				
	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claims are	subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
🛮 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	, PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prior	rity documents have been				
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the Internation					
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
★ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ★ Notice Of References Cited Cite					
	2				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
 ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 					
Notice of informary atom repaired by the re-	*				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	OWING PAGES				



Application/Control Number: 09/134,451

Art Unit: 2764

1. Claims 1-29 have been examined.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 11, line 10, the word "not" appears to be contrary to the intended meaning of the sentence, and should be deleted. On page 34, line 25, "Input Data A 70" should be "Input Date A 70," to be consistent with the drawings. Similarly, in lines 26-27, "Input Data B 72" should be "Input Date B 72."

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/134,451

Art Unit: 2764

5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-180 of U. S. Patent No. 5,802,501, since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claim 1 of the instant application recites a method of doing essentially what claim 1 of U.S. Patent 5,802,501 recites apparatus for doing. Similarly, claim 27 of the instant application recites a method of doing essentially what claim 41 of U.S. Patent 5,802,501 recites apparatus for doing. Any subject matter claimed in the instant application but not expressly claimed in U.S. Patent 5,802,501 is believed to be fully disclosed in the specifications and drawings of U.S. Patent 5,802,501.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Daughterty discloses a system and method for determining the price of an option.

Nakamura et al. disclose an apparatus and method for file processing. Austin discloses a system

Art Unit: 2764

for managing real estate swap accounts. Benninga discloses computing the market based valuation of bonds and their components (maturities and coupons), relevant to claims 28 and 29 in particular.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be addressed to Nicholas D. Rosen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-1396.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to Nicholas.Rosen@uspto.gov.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Wushrlon D. Rosen Nicholas D. Rosen

October 5, 1999

Supervisory Patent Examin

Technology Center 2700